

Newton Planning Board Public Meeting Minutes March 22, 2022



The Newton Planning Board public meeting was called to order at: 7:00PM

Present were members: Mr. Moran, Mr. LaVoie, Mr. Guide, Mr. Piper, and Ex-Officio Mr. Marchand, also James Doggett – PB AA and Ms. Rowden - Circuit Rider

Via Zoom was Member Ms. White and 19 observers.

Vice-chairman Moran led the salute to the Flag.

Vice-chairman Moran asked for nominations for the Chair.

Ms. White **Nominated** Mr. Moran for the Chair Mr. Marchand seconded the nomination.

Vice-chairman Moran asked A.A. Doggett to call the roll.

Mr. Moran - Aye Ms. White - Aye Mr. LaVoie - Aye Mr. Piper - Aye

Mr. Guide - Aye Mr. Marchand - Aye The **VOTE** was UNANIMIOUS

Chairman Moran asked for nominations for the Vice-Chair

Mr. Marchand **Nominated** Ms. White for the Vice-Chair, Mr. Lavoie seconded the nomination.

Chairman Moran asked A.A. Doggett to call the roll.

Mr. Moran - Aye Ms. White - Aye Mr. LaVoie - Aye Mr. Piper - Aye

Mr. Guide - Aye Mr. Marchand - Aye The **VOTE** was UNANIMIOUS

Chairman Moran asked for a motion to reaffirm the Fee schedule for 2022.

Ms. White **Moved** to reaffirm the fee schedule as published. Mr. Guide seconded the motion.

Chairman Moran asked A.A. Doggett to call the roll.

Mr. Moran - Aye Ms. White - Aye Mr. LaVoie - Aye Mr. Piper - Aye Mr. Guide - Aye Mr. Marchand - Aye The **VOTE** was UNANIMIOUS

Board Business

a. Acceptance of minutes of the 2/9/22 & 2/22/22 meeting

Mr. Lavoie **MOVED** to accept the minutes of 2/9/22 as printed; Mr. Marchand seconded.

Chairman Moran asked A.A. Doggett to call the roll.

Mr. Moran - Aye Ms. White - Aye Mr. LaVoie - Aye Mr. Piper - Aye Mr. Guide - Abstained Mr. Marchand - Aye The **VOTE** was UNANIMIOUS

Mr. Lavoie **MOVED** to accept the minutes of 2/22/22 as printed; Mr. Marchand seconded.

Chairman Moran asked A.A. Doggett to call the roll.

Mr. Moran - Aye Ms. White - Aye Mr. LaVoie - Aye Mr. Piper - Aye Mr. Guide - Abstained Mr. Marchand - Aye The **VOTE** was UNANIMIOUS

b. NPREA Manifest

Ms. White **MOVED** to pay the manifest dated 3/22/2022 in the amount of \$877.52 Mr. Marchand seconded the motion.

Chairman Moran asked A.A. Doggett to call the roll.

Mr. Moran - Aye Ms. White - Aye Mr. LaVoie - Aye Mr. Piper - Aye Mr. Guide - Abstained Mr. Marchand - Aye The **VOTE** was UNANIMIOUS

1. Public Hearings

1. Chairman Moran called the Public Hearing to order at: 7:06PM and read:

A continuation of Saivash & Diane Mahalati's request for a public hearing, for a Home-Based Business (Landscaping) at 8 Nordic Wood Lane, Newton NH. The property is referenced as Tax Map 9, Block 3, Lot 15-9

Chairman Moran reminded the Board that Jurisdiction had already been taken.

Mr. Moran invited the Board members to give input from the Site-walk.

Mr. Moran stated his observances at the site-walk: it's a residential neighborhood with an approximately one-thousand-foot-long road ending with the cul-de-sac. Eight Nordic Wood Lane is on the eastern side of the road with a substantial driveway approximately two to three hundred feet long with a traditional colonial house. There were two trailers on the left-hand side of the driveway that were somewhat visible from the roadway.

Mr. Moran invited other site-walk attendees to give input. No one did.

Mr. Marchand stated that he would like to clear up some misinformation about the low-profile trailer the applicant owns, that it weighs less than a car it weighs in at 4,180 lbs. The 14,000 lb. rating is because if you add the weight that it's supposed to be able to carry which is 9,820 pounds that weight added to its empty weight comes out to 14,000 pounds.

Mr. Moran posed that: so everybody in the room is clear on the issue, that the Planning Board is aware that there are covenants the land in the neighborhood and reminded those present that it had been discussed previously that the Planning Board has no jurisdiction whatsoever regarding the covenants and they are civil matters outside the jurisdiction of the Planning Board. He asked that people not rehash that issue.

Mr. M. Mahalati briefly spoke about the changes he made to his application, mainly moving the trailer parking area so one that they cannot be seen by anyone except one neighbor. He stated he would be planting additional shrubbery along that property line as a buffer. He went on to address certain issues that the abutters had brought up in their objection letter. Regarding the river stone piled beside his driveway he stated that this was left by the previous owner and was used around the house under the drip edge. He wanted to state for the record that they are not bringing materials to the home and had no intention of doing so.

He pointed out that his truck is the only commercial vehicle on the property, that it is not parked there overnight. He further stated that the two trailers and the bobcat are considered equipment.

He explained that his father he's a fifty percent owner of the company who helps with purchasing and that he comes out on jobs. He reiterated that the zoning allows for one non-resident employee who would be himself. He reviewed the difference between the gross vehicle weight and the gross vehicle weight rating.

In closing he stated if he and his parents were looking to do something illegal, they would not be in front of the Planning Board seeking a home-based business permit. His last point was that a trespasser had come onto his parent's property taking pictures which he felt was harassment.

Mr. Moran asked Ms. Rowden for any input on the application.

Ms. Rowden stated that with the revised plot plan that had been supplied, it does not appear that there is anything that would go against the home occupation ordinance.

Chairman Moran invited the public to speak either for or against the application and asked that people state their name and your address so that the administrative assistant can record it.

Mr. Wes Gunderson (19 Gale Village Road, son of the original developer) Stated that his father did the subdivision the covenants. His mother has delegated him to manage the covenants associated with the development. He expressed that the only way to rectify something that is against the covenants is in civil court as it is not under the purview of this planning board or town zoning ordinance or code enforcement. The original covenants were set up specifically to prevent this kind of issue.

Mr. Ken Hamilton (13 Nordic Wood Lane) had a few concerns related to the zoning asking if the purpose stated in the zoning is to maintain and preserve the character of residential neighborhoods and he was concerned that he felt that the Planning Board had dismissed the 12,000 GVW (gross vehicle weight) limit of commercial vehicles parked on Residential A property. He continued by saying that the applicant had stated they were going to have two trailers, a sub-compact tractor, and a skidder with the possible addition of a mini excavator making what he feels is five vehicles on the property. He questioned if that does not meet the threshold of commercial vehicles then how many they can have.

Ms. Rowden stated that number of commercial vehicles it is limited to two, and that the state definition of commercial vehicle does not include things that would be the size of the trailer, tractor, bobcat, or mower that has been proposed. The only vehicles they would be allowed to have as far as the landscaping business are what have been proposed anything beyond that would be outside of any approval that they may receive. The applicant could not have a dump truck, or a semi-truck parked on to the property, those would be beyond means of any approval they would get under their home-based business.

Mr. Hamilton stated that the applicant could amended their application.

Ms. Rowden said that if they wanted to do more, they would have to come back before the planning board.

Mr. Hamilton stated for the record that he feels that five landscaping vehicles to be used commercially is not consistent with the character of the neighborhood and if that's one of the intents of the zoning he would hope that the board would take into consideration.

Further he stated that while Mr. M. Mahalati had said that his father participates in the Business, he, Mr. Hamilton had no knowledge or proof of that. Mr. Hamilton didn't know if Mr. Mahalati could prove that. He stated that they do know he (Mr. M. Mahalati) lives

in Merrimack Mass and the zoning says the home business must be conducted by a resident of the premises and feels Mr. M. Mahalati's coming and going would violate another covenant.

Chairman Moran interrupted him to remind him not to diverge to the covenants.

Mr. Hamilton stated it was related to zoning, section b, number three, the home-based business shall not be such that it requires regular or frequent service by heavy commercial trucks. He feels that this would adversely impact the character of the neighborhood. He asked if Mr. M. Mahalati lives in Merrimack and he's coming and going with his equipment that would violate that zoning condition.

Mr. Hamilton asked for the Planning Board's interpretation of "such use shall be clearly incidental and secondary to the residential use of the dwelling" and if having the five pieces of landscaping equipment constitutes incidental and secondary.

Mr. Moran asked Mr. Hamilton if he was asking that of the Planning Board.

Mr. Hamilton stated it he was asking because it was not clear to him how to interpret that passage. He opined that his interpretation is having those five landscaping vehicles was not incidental to the residential use. He concluded his remarks by stating that he knew that the application had been amended but was concerned that neighbors at 10 & 6 Nordic Wood Lane see right into that property and stated that he wished to maintain the character of the neighborhood.

Ms. Nicole Tedoldi (Abutter, 10 Nordic Wood Lane) stated she is the only one that has the clear view of this property and when the builder was building on the lot, he cleared too many trees and that was the reason (for being able to see into the applicant's property) She wanted to also ask what happens when the applicant's equipment needs to be serviced. She stated that there was a big truck that dropped off the bobcat and asked what would happen when it needs to be serviced. She further asked if the GVW is for every piece of equipment or individual ones.

Ms. Rowden informed her that it was individual pieces based on the curb weight (their weight empty).

Mr. Marchand answered her first question that if the applicant had a problem with the bobcat that he would not be able to have somebody work on it at the home, instead they would have to have it taken off of his to be serviced.

Mr. Moran stated that it's very clear in the regulations that the maintenance of vehicles cannot be performed on the premises.

Mr. Kevin Clark (Abutter, 6 Nordic Wood Lane) had questions regarding the residential nature of the neighborhood and its preservation with regard to the number of vehicles on the property.

Mr. Moran stated that would be a code enforcement issue.

Mr. Clark asked how the neighbors could stop this from being approved. What if they continue to grow the business and adding more equipment? He fears that soon he will have a commercial backyard. He challenged the Planning Board addressed how the business will grow.

Ms. Rowden stated that if the application was approved that evening for what they have stated and if they were to grow beyond that even by one vehicle they would be in violation of their approval, they could be shut down by the code enforcement.

Mr. Clark stated he feels this is not right and the Planning Board had crossed the line

Mr. David Pugh (7 Nordic Wood Lane) asked why the equipment is not stored in Merrimac, MA, commenting on covenants, and asking if anyone on the Planning Board would want to live across the street from the applicant. He commented that the applicant told him that he planned to flip the house, Mr. Pugh stated those were the best words he had heard in his life and while he had not seen a "for sale" sign yet, but that he was keeping his fingers crossed.

Ms. Elizabeth Clark (Abutter, 6 Nordic Wood Lane) stated that it is a quiet residential cul-de-sac neighborhood; there are nine young children on this street; that the applicant backs out of his driveway; and asked where they would go when one kid gets hurt.

Mr. Gunderson voiced his concern that if all equipment is okay, and he only has five pieces of equipment, the neighbors will have to monitor what he's doing relative to all the equipment that is brought onto the property. He questioned that anyone who could ignore the covenants are going to put extra equipment on the property until they get caught.

Ms. Jessica Carey (Abutter, 9 Nordic Wood Lane) questioned the honesty of the applicant.

Ms. Tedoldi stated she felt Ms. Mahalati had no integrity and spoke of how her own husband's home-based business has grown over the years.

Ms. Mahalati stated she commented on the covenants, and her observations when they originally viewed the property of a similar bobcat parked across the street from their new home and other like issues. She also commented on the amended plan and their attempts to satisfy zoning.

Mr. Glenn Schroder (12 Nordic Wood Lane) spoke of his concerns about property values.

Mr. Josh Sunstrom (3 Nordic Wood Lane) questioned Mr. S. Mahalati's actual involvement in the business. He further assured the Planning Board that Mr. S. Mahalati is not actively participating in the business.

Mr. Hamilton asked what the limit on the number of non-commercial commercial vehicles is that somebody can have.

Mr. Guide replied that if it's not a commercial vehicle you could fill your property as long as they're registered, however you're limited to two project (unregistered) vehicles.

Mr. Hamilton stated he feels that everyone is hanging on the on the technical definition of vehicle. That it's even a federal definition of what is a commercial vehicle, but he doubts that that was the spirit of the intent because commercial is based on the word commerce: those vehicles that are being used in commerce they're not private use, they're being used in commerce.

Mr. Moran stated if the public has a concern about the regulations there's a very defined way to change them.

Mr. Marchand interjected that the reason why trailers are not considered vehicles as stated in RSA **259:113 Trailer.** – "Trailer" shall mean any vehicle without motive power designed for carrying property or passengers wholly on its own structure and for being drawn by a self-propelled vehicle, except those running exclusively on snow or on stationary tracks and vehicles used exclusively for agricultural purposes. He went on further to say that that is why they are not considered a motor vehicle and that's why unfortunately what is happening is talking apples and oranges.

Mr. Hamilton stated that he had read the same definition and even a backhoe isn't considered commercial by that definition because it's not used to transport people. He opined that he didn't know what the point of the application is if they can have anything they want.

Mr. Marchand stated that the Planning Board has put stipulations (conditions) on things (approvals). That the Planning Board that all input into consideration and to try to help to protect the residents that would be around a business. He went on to give examples of potential conditions. He stated that by the letter of the law what the applicant trying to do technically, is in the ordinances but we could put stipulations in to help.

Ms. Rowden stated the Planning Board typically looks at scale, intensity, and impact. That those are sometimes a little bit subjective but is in line with what the zoning ordinance and the purpose of the zoning ordinance actually states. That's what the planning board has the ability to judge, besides the explicit criteria.

Ms. Jacqueline Morrison (11 Nordic Wood Lane) asked to state for the record that she thinks this is a safety issue. She spoke of her three little kids. one son is five he going to be in kindergarten, and they have to walk to the end of the street every day to get the bus.

Mss. Ashley Murach (3 Nordic Wood Lane) commented that allowing this would bring people who do not belong on their street to invade the neighborhood.

Mr. M. Mahalati stated that there is a home-based business next to their property, a plumber, that has building materials outdoors, though they are not supposed to per the covenant. He has a hard time believing that all of a sudden, he's going to run over children, but no one seems to be worried about Amazon drivers speeding up and down the road.

Chairman Moran asked Ms. Rowden if she had any remaining comments.

Ms. Rowden gave her opinions and commented that in general, one of the Planning Board roles is to ensure when applications come in whether they are following the zoning, in some cases site plan, or subdivision regulation, the Board's role is to ensure that property owners rights are balanced with neighbor's rights.

The Board discussed potential conditions of approval

Mr. M. Mahalati gave some closing remarks in defense of the application.

Chairman Moran closed the public comment period.

Chairman Moran reminded the Board that if they denied the application, they would need to give specific reasons based on the zoning ordinances. No Board member commented.

The Board discussed hours of operation with the applicant.

Mr. S. Mahalati expressed his dismay at the attitude he encountered in the neighborhood.

Mr. LaVoie **MOVED** to conditionally approve the Application with the following conditions:

Conditions Prescient:

1. Obtain all Local, and State Land Use Permits, including but not limited to a life safety inspection.

Conditions Subsequent:

- 1. No outdoor storage of business-related materials.
- 2. All business shall take place inside the home or off-site
- 3. Hours of operation shall be from 6:00AM to 7:30PM Monday-Friday and 8:00AM to Noon on Saturdays.
- 4. A limit of 2 roundtrips with equipment are permitted per day.
- 5. Only the 2 existing trailers may be stored outdoors, any other equipment must be stored inside the garage or shed
- 6. A screen of evergreens shall be planted to block the neighbor's view of the trailer parking area as shown on the revised plan.
- 7. This Notice of Decision shall expire 12 month from the date of signing unless an extension is approved by the Newton Planning Board.

Mr. Marchand seconded the motion

Mr. Moran asked Administrative Assistant Doggett to call the roll:

Mr. Moran - Aye Ms. White - Aye Mr. LaVoie - Aye Mr. Piper - Aye

Mr. Guide - Aye Mr. Marchand - Aye The **VOTE** was UNANIMIOUS

Mr. Moran closed the public hearing at 8:32PM.

2. Chairman Moran called the Public Hearing to order at 8:36PM and read:

American Tower of Woburn MA. request a public hearing for a Minor Site Plan (adding a new generator and pad) at 85T S. Main Street, Newton NH. The property is referenced as Tax Map 13, Block 2, Lot 24T.

Chairman Moran asked Ms. Rowden for her input on Completeness of the application

Ms. Rowden offered her opinion that the application was complete and the epitome of a minor site plan.

Chairman Moran ask if a member wanted to make a motion on completeness of the application.

Mr. Marchand **MOVED** to accept the application as being complete and take jurisdiction.

Mr. Guide seconded the motion.

Mr. Moran asked Administrative Assistant Doggett to call the roll:

Mr. Moran - Aye Ms. White - Aye Mr. LaVoie - Aye Mr. Piper - Aye

Mr. Guide - Aye Mr. Marchand - Aye The **VOTE** was UNANIMIOUS

Chairman Moran invited American Tower to present the application.

Ms. Simpson made her presentation explaining the reason for replacing the older generator with a new, fully enclosed, 80kw one on a 6-foot by 8-foot pad.

Chairman Moran asked Ms. Rowden for her input on the application

Ms. Rowden offered her opinion that the board should approve.

Mr. Moran invited the Public to give input or ask questions stating: "Please announce your name and address so the Administrative Assistant can note it for the minutes." There were no comments.

Mr. Piper asked about the alarm on the generator.

Chairman Moran asked for a motion on the application

Mr. Marchand **MOVED** to Approve the Application with the following conditions:

Conditions Precedent:

1. Obtain all local and State permits.

Conditions consequent:

2. Monthly testing will be done during typical business hours.

Mr. Guide seconded the motion.

Mr. Moran asked Administrative Assistant Doggett to call the roll:

Chairman Moran asked A.A. Doggett to call the roll.

Mr. Moran - Aye Ms. White - Aye Mr. LaVoie - Aye Mr. Piper - Aye Mr. Guide - Aye Mr. Marchand - Aye The **VOTE** was UNANIMIOUS

Mr. Moran closed the public hearing at 8:41PM.

3. Chairman Moran called the Public Hearing to order at: 8:42PM and read:

Munters of Amesbury, Mass request a public hearing for a Change of Use (adding assembly) at 9B Puzzle Lane, Newton NH. The property is referenced as Tax Map 14, Block 1, Lots 27- 4.

Chairman Moran asked Ms. Rowden for her input on Completeness of the application.

Ms. Rowden offered her opinion that with the waiver the application was complete, though she had a couple of comments on the number of parking spaces they were claiming and their hours of operation. She further stated that the waiver being requested would be expected for a lot like this with multiple buildings and tenants/owners.

Ms. Rowden went on to say that the Board needed to make sure that the applicant was compliant with those provisions of the site plan that have already been put in which is the no truck traffic between 6pm and 6am and there won't be any outside storage related to public health and safety.

Mr. Doug Hittinger (plant manager for Munters in Amesbury) stated this building is going to be used as a warehouse facility and also for light assembly of off the shelf components that they build HVAC equipment and essentially load dew point HVAC equipment, so no hazardous chemicals are used. They have no issues with no trucks between 6 p.m. and 6 a.m. He further mentioned that they pretty much operate normal business hours for trucking, though there would be people inside the building from anywhere from 5am to 12pm. For the most part their normal shift operation is six a.m. to four thirty p.m. and as far as the parking that they had just asked for as many as many spots as would be available per the lease and that typically there's going to be 10 to 12 people in the building at any given time with a maximum of 20.

A. A. Doggett stated that he had received an email from a resident in Sargent Woods supporting the application based on their personal knowledge from having lived near Munters' Amesbury plant.

Mr. Piper guestioned the loss of handicapped parking spaces.

Mr. Hittinger stated that they will move them and understand it will be a condition of approval.

Chairman Moran them opened the floor for public comment.

Ms. Nancy Kozlowski (Abutter 3 Woodland Road) asked if Munters would will still be keeping their Amesbury location.

Mr. Hittinger stated that they would.

Ms. Kozlowski asked what Munters will be manufacturing in Newton.

Mr. Hittinger stated low dew point HVAC equipment, what that means is Munters builds dehumidifiers for the electric car factories that going up all across the world also lithium battery factories. When you build a battery there has to be no humidity in the air .we build a device that sucks the humidity out of the air that's what Munters is building in Amesbury

Ms. Kozlowski asked if Munters has 22 employees in their Amesbury facility.

Mr. Hittinger stated that they have 300. Munters is going to make the Newton facility their warehouse with some light assembly of small parts.

Ms. Kozlowski asked about the hours of operation of 5:00a.m. to midnight.

Mr. Hittinger stated that that was to enable Munters to meet the needs of the growing market and add a second shift when demand is high.

Ms. Kozlowski asked about acetylene, nitrogen, and oxygen tanks on the site.

Mr. Hittinger stated that they had no plans to have any of those on the site.

Ms. Kozlowski asked about any complaints from neighbors to their Amesbury plant.

Mr. Hittinger answered no and that Munters monitors all air emissions and anything else coming out of their facility.

Ms. Kozlowski asked about truck delivery times.

Mr. Hittinger stated that deliveries would only happen between 6:00AM and 6:00PM and on an average day there could be 6 to 8 deliveries.

Ms. Kozlowski asked if they planned to run the plant with the loading bay doors open.

Mr. Hittinger stated it would depend on weather conditions and promote healthy working conditions.

Mr. Roger Hamel (abutter, 17 Spruce Lane) commented that the hours of operation approved in 2015 for this building were from 6:00AM to 10:00PM and asked the Board to consider maintaining those original hours.

The Board discussed hours of operation.

Chairman Moran ask if a member wants to make a motion on completeness of the application

Mr. Marchand **MOVED** to accept the application as being complete and take jurisdiction.

Mr. Guide seconded the motion.

Mr. Moran asked Administrative Assistant Doggett to call the roll:

Mr. Moran - Aye Ms. White - Aye Mr. LaVoie - Aye Mr. Piper - Aye

Mr. Guide - Aye Mr. Marchand - Aye The **VOTE** was UNANIMIOUS

Mr. Marchand **MOVED** to grant the waiver from the Policy and Procedures Manual, Section 10, B. Mr. Guide seconded the motion.

Mr. Moran asked Administrative Assistant Doggett to call the roll:

Mr. Moran - Aye Ms. White - Aye Mr. LaVoie - Aye Mr. Piper - Aye

Mr. Guide - Aye Mr. Marchand - Aye The **VOTE** was UNANIMIOUS

The Board discussed the possible conditions.

Chairman Moran asked for a motion on the application

Mr. Marchand **MOVED** to Approve the Application with the following conditions:

Conditions Precedent:

- 1. Obtain all Local, State, And Federal Land Use Permits, including but not limited to approvals by the Building and Fire Departments.
- 2. Confirm that adequate septic facilities exist prior to issuance of an occupancy permit.

Conditions Subsequent:

- 1. All services are to be performed inside the building.
- 2. All hazardous or regulated substances on site be handled, stored, and disposed of consistent with local, State, and Federal requirements.
- 3. Maintain the same number of Handicapped parking spaces as required.
- 4. The Hours of operation shall be: 5:00AM to 12:00AM
- 5. No idling of vehicles between 10:00PM and 7:30AM
- 6. No commercial/retail space shall be offered without a new application/approval of the Planning Board.
- 7. No outdoor storage of materials is permitted.

Mr. Guide seconded the motion.

Mr. Moran asked Administrative Assistant Doggett to call the roll:

Chairman Moran asked A.A. Doggett to call the roll.

Mr. Moran - Aye Ms. White - Aye Mr. LaVoie - Aye Mr. Piper - Aye

Mr. Guide - Aye Mr. Marchand - Aye The **VOTE** was UNANIMIOUS

Chairman Moran closed the public hearing at 9:14PM.

Chairman Moran noted the lateness of the hour and asked the Board members if they wished to continue with the public hearings or do as would be required and open them and continue them to the next meeting.

4. Chairman Moran called the Public Hearing to order at: 9:15PM and read:

125 Development NH Corp of Plaistow, NH, request a public hearing for a 4-building condominium site plan off S. Main Street, in Newton NH. The property is referenced as Tax Map 14, Block 1, Lot 27-3.

Chairman Moran asked Ms. Rowden for her input on Completeness of the application.

Ms. Rowden opined that the application was incomplete and referenced her letter of opinion and that from the Town Engineer.

A brief discussion was held about the contents of the professional review letters and the applicant was asked if they wished to continue the public hearing to April 12th.

Mr. Marchand **MOVED** to continue the public hearing to April 12th, 2022. Ms. White seconded the motion.

Mr. Moran asked Administrative Assistant Doggett to call the roll:

Mr. Moran - Aye Ms. White - Aye Mr. LaVoie - Aye Mr. Piper - Aye

Mr. Guide - Aye Mr. Marchand - Aye The **VOTE** was UNANIMIOUS

Chairman Moran recessed the public hearing at 9:22PM

3. Adjourn.

Chairman Moran adjourned the meeting at 9:23PM

Respectfully Submitted,

James L. Doggett, AA Newton Planning Board